Executable Formal Semantic of P4 and Applications

Ali Kheradmand, Grigore Rosu

University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign



A need: Automated Verification



Complexity (of networks and hardware)

(increased chance of) Subtle Bugs





Flexibility and Agility (of SDNs and P4)

Current approach



Formal semantics matter

Example from C language:

int main(void) {
 int x = 0;
 return (x = 1) + (x = 2);
} GCC: 4
Clang: 3
Frama-C [Filliâtre et al]: 4
HAVOC [Lahiri et al]: 4
ISO C11: undefined

P4 Language Specification Version 1.0.3 (November 2, 2016):

"P4 assumes **parallel** semantics for the application of all the primitive actions executing as a result of a match in a given table. The execution of actions across different tables assumes **sequential** semantics where the sequence is determined by the control flow, described in Section 12." modify_field(hdr.fldA, 1); modify_field(hdr.fldB, hdr.fldA);

modify_field(hdr.fldA, 1); modify_field(hdr.fldA, 2);

Our vision



K Framework [Rosu et al, 2010] 66 🖬 🌮

- Rewrite-based programming language semantics engineering framework
 - Successfully used to give complete semantics to C, Java, JavaScript, ...
- Semantics:
 - Configuration (state): nested cells
 - Rewrite rules (transitions): C[L₁ => R₁, ... , L_n => R_n]

P4K: Semantics of $P4_{14}$ (V1.0.3) in K



- Not all features are currently supported
 - Enough rules to run simple P4 programs
 - e.g: *basic_routing* from p4factory
- Challenge: ambiguities and undefined behavior
 - Parallel semantics
 - Deparsing
 - Operands with different widths
 - ...
 - More: <u>https://github.com/kframework/p4-semantics/blob/master/issues.txt</u>
 - Most addressed in P4₁₆

Tools (all for free!)



Potential App 1: Finding bugs using Symbolic Execution

- Property: Does the program either drop the packet or set the value of egress_spec? *
- Start with a **symbolic packet** $\langle ?P \rangle_{packet}$
- Search for a pattern in which neither the packet is dropped nor the egress_spec is set

Potential App 1: Finding bugs using Symbolic Execution (cont.)

- Tested on *basic_routing*
- Found 2 type of inputs that lead to violation:
 - P.ethernet.etherType != 0x0800
 - *P.ipv4.dstAdr not in* ipv4_fib and ipv4_fib_lpm



Potential App 2: Data plane verification

- Check network-wide reachability properties in data plane snapshot (for all packet headers)
 - E.g: Does all packets from A reach B?
 - HSA_[Kazemian et al, NSDI'12], Veriflow_[Khurshid et al, NSDI'13], Delta-net_[NSDI'17], ...
- Can be checked by inserting symbolic packets and using symbolic execution
- Need semantics of network
 - Easy to add



Tools (all for free!)



Potential App 3: Semantic coverage measurement

- "How much" of the language semantics is covered by the compiler tests suits?
- Similar technique for JavaScript ([Park et al, PLDI'15]) revealed:
 - Inconsistencies in JavaScript standard
 - Bugs in Web browsers



More Potential Apps

- Automatic conformance test generation
- Model checking
- Comprehensive network verification
 - by plugging controller programs written in C/Java/... without modifcation
- Equivalence check / translation validation
- Better language specification
 - Formalization itself might reveal problems in the specification
 - Use K rules in the language specification
 - or formalize the pseudo-code language
- [insert ideas here]

Conclusion

- Formal semantics matters
- P4K: Towards complete executable formal semantics of P4 in K
- Tools for P4 developers and designers based on the semantics
- Suggestion: Consider the framework for future versions of P4 language
- Check it out: https://github.com/kframework/p4-semantics/



- Learn more: http://www.kframework.org/
- Looking for ideas/collaborators
- Let's get in touch: <u>kheradm2@illinois.edu</u>