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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network event</th>
<th>Management task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Hierarchical) Heavy Hitters</td>
<td>accounting, traffic engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in traffic patterns</td>
<td>anomaly detection, DoS detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superspreaders</td>
<td>worm, scan, DDoS detection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s first create a common ground
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(Hierarchical) Heavy Hitters

**Heavy Hitters (HH):** a prefix that contribute with a traffic volume larger than a given threshold $T$ during a fixed time interval $t$.

**Hierarchical Heavy Hitter (HHH):** a prefix that exceeds a threshold $T$ after excluding the contribution of all its HHH descendants.
Changes in traffic patterns

Identifying the flows that contribute the most for the changes in the traffic patterns over two consecutive time intervals.
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Superspreaders

A host that contacts at least a given number of distinct destinations over a short time period.
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All those network events can be seen as a **traffic cluster detection problem**
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**HHH and change detection**: packets or bytes per second.

**Superspreaders**: flows per second.
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Can we leverage **dataplane programmability** to **assist** in the detection of those events?

HashPipe [1]: calculate within the dataplane the top-k heavy hitters at fixed intervals.  
Focus on heavy hitter only.

Univmon [2]: assist the controller by exporting smart representation of aggregated statistics.  
The actual detection is performed in the control plane.

Wait a minute. Is this a problem?
Retrieving a large number of counters from hardware is **time consuming**!!!

![Graph showing the relationship between the number of hardware counters and average time (seconds). IBM RackSwitch G8264 and NoviSwitch 1132 are compared.](image)

**Note:** probabilistic data structures (i.e., sketches) require large amount of counters to lower false positive ratio.
Updating forwarding state and statistic retrieval are two competing operations that are commonly run sequentially.

**Note:** having large chunk of forwarding updates is a pretty common case during blackholing.
Can we leverage *dataplane programmability* to *assist* in the detection of those events?
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Can we leverage **dataplane programmability** to **enable in-network** detection of those events?
Because if you have in-network detection..
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As soon as you detect you can take pre-defined actions.
Good for network reactivity.

You can directly export the detection result to the control plane.
Control plane does not have to receive lot of data and understand what is going on.
Because if you have in-network detection..
Elastic Trie in a nutshell

- Prefix tree that **grows** or **collapses**: focus on who account for a *large* share of the traffic.

- **Starting condition**: a single node corresponding with zero-length prefix *.

- Each node consists of **three elements**: (1) left child counter, (2) right child counter, (3) node timestamp.

- Use **timeouts** to detect heavy prefixes and to grow or collapse the trie (i.e., if in the time interval $t$, prefix A exceeds a threshold, then refine the prefix)
Elastic Trie in action
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Elastic Trie implications

- The dataplane iteratively refine the responsible IP prefixes: the controller can receive a **flexible granularity information**.

- Each prefix tree layer can have a **different timeout**: trade-off between trie building process and memory consumption.

- By looking at the **growing rate** of the trie it is possible to: identify changes in the traffic patterns.
Elastic Trie in P4

- **LPM classification**: the prefix tree
- **Control logic**: the brain
- **Main memory**: where all the per-node information are stored
Elastic Trie in P4: LPM classification

- We cannot modify entries in the dataplane itself
- A hash table for each prefix length
- Each hash table implemented as register array
- Hash extern API with CRC32
**Elastic Trie in P4: main memory**

- The hash value of the LPM is the address to access a register that stores the node information
Elastic Trie in P4: control logic

- We compare node timestamp and packet timestamp
- It implements the node update logic, and the push-based mechanic with a digest message
Elastic Trie in action
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Precision

Recall

true_{positives} \over \text{true}_{positives} + \text{false}_{positives}

true_{positives} + false_{negatives}
Changes can be spotted!!!
Conclusions

- Elastic Trie enables **in-network detection** of traffic aggregates.

- **Push-based monitoring** approach.

- Suitable for HH, HHH, Superspreader and Change detection.

- Low memory footprint!